

EVALUATION REPORT
(As Per Rules 35 of PP Rules, 2004)

1. Name of Procuring Agency: Karachi Infrastructure Development Company Ltd. (KIDCL), Ministry of Communication, Government of Pakistan.
2. Method of Procurement: Single-Stage Single Envelop under Rule 36 (a) Public Procurement Rules 2004.
3. Title of Procurement: Construction of BRTS Green Line "Water Trunk Main (Dia 1200 mm) from Nagan Chowrangi to Sakhi Hassan and Relocation of Pumping Station near KDA Chowrangi.
4. Tender Inquiry No: Contract Package # KAR/BRTS/GL/UT/01
5. PPRA Ref. No (TSE): TS249300E
6. Date & Time of Bid Closing: 25th August, 2015, 1100 Hrs
7. Date & Time of Bid Opening: 25th August, 2015, 1200 Hrs
8. No. of Bids Received: Six (6) – As mentioned below
9. Criteria for Bid Evaluation: Evaluation criteria already provided in the bidding documents. Evaluation criterion is reproduced below for reference.

Criteria: Valid Registration with Pakistan Engineering Council in Category C3 and Discipline CE- 09, CE-10 and ME-06.

IB. 28 Evaluation and Comparison of Bids

- 28.1 The Employer will evaluate and compare only the Bids determined to be substantially responsive in accordance with Clause IB.26.

IB.26. Examination of Bid and Determination of Responsiveness

- 26.1 Prior to the detailed evaluation of bids, the Employer will determine whether each bid is substantially responsive to the requirements of the Bidding Documents.
- 26.2 A substantially responsive bid one which (i) meets the eligibility criteria; (ii) has been properly signed; (iii) is accompanied by the required Bid security; and (iv) conforms to all the terms, conditions and specifications of the Bidding Documents, without material deviation or reservation. A material deviation or reservation is one (i) which effect in any substantial way scope, quality or performance of the Works; (ii) which limits in any substantial way, inconsistent with the Bidding Documents, the Employer's rights or the bidder's obligations under the Contract; or (iii) adoption/rectification whereof would affect unfairly the competitive position of the other bidders presenting substantially responsive bids.



- 26.3 If a bid is not substantially responsive, it will be rejected by the Employer, and may not subsequently be made responsive by correction or withdrawal of the non-conforming deviation or reservation.
- 28.2 In evaluating the Bids, the Employer will determine for each Bid the evaluated Bid Price by adjusting the Bid Prices as follow:
- Making correction for errors pursuant to Clause IB.27;
 - Excluding Provisional Sums and provision, if any for contingencies in Summary Bill of Quantities, but including competitively priced Daywork; and
 - Making an appropriate adjustment for any other acceptable variation or deviation.
- 28.3 The estimated effect of the price adjustment provisions of the Conditions of Contract, applied over the period of execution of the Contract, shall not be taken into account in Bid evaluation.
- 28.4 If the Bid of the successful bidder is seriously unbalanced in relation to the Employer's estimate of the cost of work to be performed under the Contract, the Employer may require the bidder to produce detailed price analysis for any or all items of the Bill of quantities to demonstrate the internal consistency of those prices with the construction methods and schedule proposed. After evaluation of the price analyses, the Employer may require that amount of the Performance Security set forth in Clause IB.32. be increased at the expense of the successful bidder to a level sufficient to protect the Employer against financial loss in event of default of the successful bidder under the Contract.

10. **Details of Bid Evaluation:** Tender Documents were obtained by Twenty One (21) bidders and six (6) of the bidders submitted sealed bids. The Twenty One (21) firms who obtained Tender Documents are as per following details.

S . No	Name of Bidders / Vendors / Interior Decorators	Amount of PO / DD Number
1	M/s Sherjan Mosakhail \$ Sons	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 02205954 dated 03/08/15
2	M/s Sultan Mahmood & Company	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 9174773 dated 04/08/15
3	M/s BST Services	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 4021991 dated 05/08/15
4	M/s A A Quality Builders	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 0220636 dated 05/08/15
5	M/s Zahir Khan & Brothers	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 0219848 dated 05/08/15
6	M/s Sadullah Khan & Brothers	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 9829550 dated 06/08/15
7	M/s M Sadiq & Brothers	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 01058589 dated 07/08/15
8	M/s Badar Engineering	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 013083 dated 10/08/15
9	M/s Fabcon Civil Works	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 12468744 dated 10/08/15
10	M/s Engineering Services	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 01381772 dated 10/08/15
11	M/s Shaheen Construction	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 2228084 dated 10/08/15



12	M/s Indusman Corporation	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 922960 dated 12/08/15
13	M/s Qalander Bux Abro & Company	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 0342144 dated 12/08/15
14	M/s Ever shine Constructor	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 9018983 dated 12/08/15
15	M/s Shahrukh Engineering & Contractor	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 01053898 dated 13/08/15
16	M/s Sharae Tameraat	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. GLP008105 dated 13/08/15
17	M/s M Hasni Builder & Malik & Company (JV)	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 13069344 dated 13/08/15
18	M/s Eastern Construction Company	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 19900223 dated 17/08/15
19	M/s Shasher Khan & Co & King Enterprises (JV)	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 9018998 dated 19/08/15
20	M/s Qasim Khan & Company	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 00050024 dated 20/08/15
21	M/s Crescent Construction Company	Rs. 3,000/- vide no. 10471596 dated 21/08/15

The bidders who submitted Sealed Bid on the closing date

Name of Bidder	Technical (if applicable)	Financial (if applicable)	Combined Marks	* Evaluated Cost	Rule/Regulation/SBD*/Policy/ Basis for Rejection/ Acceptance as per Rule 35 of PP Rules, 2004
M/s Hasni Builder & Malik & Company (JV)	NOT APPLICABLE (Single-Stage / Lowest Cost)			Rs.145,796,701/-	In accordance with evaluation of Financial Bid Opening, M/S M.Hasni Builder & Malik & Company (JV) RS.145,796,701/= was the Lowest Bidder with the minimum acceptable criteria as per Tender Document.
M/s Eastern Construction Company				Rs.154,671,137/-	
M/s Qasim Khan & Company				Rs.244,385,706/-	
M/s BST Services				Rs.250,768,654/-	
M/s Badar Engineering				Rs.278,678,850/-	
M/s Ever Shine Constructors				Rs.340,915,625/-	

* The submitted cost was evaluated financially, including Arithmetic check


Lowest Evaluated Bidder: **M/s Hasni Builder & Malik & Company (JV)**



11. Any other additional / supporting information, the procuring agency may like to share.

Rate for providing and lying of 48" dia pipe was lower than the estimates, the Evaluation Committee obtained the rate analysis from the bidder via letter no KIDCL (GLBRST)/ GM (Engg.)/ 2015/234 dated 3rd September, 2015 which conforms to the submitted rate. Further, as the bid is 17.46% lower than the Engineer's Estimate, the Evaluation Committee, in accordance with the provision in the bidding document, recommended to enhance the Performance Security to 15 %, at the expense of the bidder to protect the employer against financial loss in the event of default of the successful bidder under the contract.

Signature:


**Karachi Infrastructure Development
Company Limited (KIDCL)
Government of Pakistan**

Official Stamp: